

Review of Blue Bell Hill Local Parking Plan – August 2008

Location	Comments Raised during 18 month Experimental Period	Action/Recommendation
<p>Laurie Grey Avenue</p> <p>Included in scheme with 1 hour restriction noon – 1 pm on weekdays</p>	<p>No. 8 and No 6 support scheme in principal but previous residents did not ask for bar marking across driveway. Have just moved in and would like bar marking when the review alterations are implemented</p> <p>No. 17 – Supports scheme but feels LGA has had a poor deal. Requests the DYL are removed from the turning head and the scheme is changed to a resident exemption scheme or removed altogether</p> <p>No. 12 received PCN Supports scheme in principal to deter commuters but feels the scheme is inflexible and would like residents cars registered for exemption or the PA to knock on doors to find out if the car is local</p>	<p>Added to list for amendments to scheme</p> <p>Letter sent 8/9/08. Asked to confirm continuation of request or not by 30/9/08 to be reported to Boards</p>
<p>Laurie Gray Avenue</p>	<p>No.12 would like lines removed and advisory sign installed to say its parking for residents only</p> <p>No. 14 have had 3 parking tickets since moving into the house 8 months ago as forget to move car onto access protection marking</p>	<p>Letter sent 8/9/08 explaining that not possible to register all residents vehicles for exemption in this manner. Explained residents previously rejected suggestion for permits and bays. Asked to confirm continuation of request or not by 30/9/08 to be reported to Boards</p> <p>Advised that not a prescribed sign and request will be reported to members</p> <p>Email sent 8/9/098. Explained residents previously rejected suggestion for permits and bays. Asked to confirm continuation of</p>

Location	Comments Raised during 18 month Experimental Period	Action/Recommendation
	or use driveway. Request reviewing the operation of the scheme for Laurie Gray Avenue to have parking permits instead	request or not by 30/9/08 to be reported to Boards
<p>Saddlers Close</p> <p>Not included in scheme as no support from residents</p> <p>Area monitored for overspill over 18 month</p> <p>Re-consultation questionnaires delivered w/e 8/8/08</p> <p>Parking arrangements to remain unchanged</p>	<p>No.2 Request for bar marking outside driveway when the scheme is reviewed</p>	<p>Added to Road marking programme and residents advised</p>

Location	Comments Raised during 18 month Experimental Period	Action/Recommendation
<p>Hallsfield Road</p> <p>Included in scheme with weekday 1 hour restriction 11 – 12noon on one side of the road and 12 noon – 1 pm on opposite side</p>	<p>No. 34 Very pleased with scheme. Request for bar marking outside driveway when the scheme is reviewed</p> <p>No. 11 delighted with the scheme</p> <p>No 79 Received PCN In first week of the scheme operating. Has 3 cars and no access to driveway so when home from University has trouble with moving car from one side of road to other to avoid staggered restrictions.</p>	<p>Added to list for Contractors – resident has since telephoned to say that it is not needed</p> <p>Parking Manager advised that PCN will not be cancelled. No further representations or PCNs</p>

Location	Comments Raised during 18 month Experimental Period	Action/Recommendation
<p>Robin Hood Lane (Crematorium/M2 overbridge to end)</p> <p>Lower end not included in initial scheme as no support from residents.</p> <p>Initial 12 responses from 25 houses Second round of leaflets sent to those that did not respond which brought in 3 additional responses</p> <p>4 Want SYL 4Want permit parking 7 Want parking to remain as it is 10 not responded.</p> <p>Robin Hood Lane (Section outside</p>	<p>No 230 - commuter parking has transferred to crematorium end on certain days (via KCC Cllr G Rowe)</p> <p>No.252 levels of parking at cul de sac end Further representation received 8/9/08 not agreeing that there is insufficient support for inclusion in the scheme and that a scheme should installed</p> <p>No 209 – Commuter parking is causing obstruction to refuse vehicle and other traffic</p>	<p>Residents advised that area will be monitored and re consultation will take place after end of 18 months</p> <p>Re-consultation questionnaires delivered w/e 8/8/08</p> <p>Letter sent 5/9/08 to each household to advise insufficient support to introduce restriction</p> <p>Further representation received 8/9/08 not agreeing that there is insufficient and that a scheme should installed</p> <p>Response that unless this aspiration becomes more widely expressed by other residents parking in the area will remain unchanged</p> <p>Recommendation to continue to monitor area</p>

Location	Comments Raised during 18 month Experimental Period	Action/Recommendation
<p>the Church and Village Hall)</p> <p>The scheme included the removal of 2 existing parking bays between the entrance to the Village Hall car park and the vehicle access to the Church and the installation of DYL. This was to assist with the Village Hall plans to widen the access and install additional parking at the back of the Village Hall and Church. The plans have not progressed due to difficulty in gaining agreement with the Church Commissioners over a strip of land – although the plans are not abandoned.</p>	<p>Vicar and various congregation members expressed dissatisfaction that the 2 parking bays between the entrance to the Church and Village Hall were removed. Impact predicted on the Church and social activities in the area</p> <p>A continuing request from Church representatives was considered by members prior to implementation and not upheld.</p> <p>Police view on restoring the bays at the time was that parking between the access points obstructs sight lines and causes danger to vehicles on the highway and also to drivers emerging out of the driveways to the Village Hall and Church.</p>	<p>Wrote to Rev. Wooton 18/8/08</p> <p>Further representations from Reverend Wooton and congregation about the inconvenience of not having the parking bay for elderly members of the congregation during winter months when they cannot park on the grass within the Church boundaries. Continued request to remove DYL between access points as no prospect for new car park in near future.</p> <p>Village Hall representative advises that plans to improve off-street parking for Church and Village Hall have not moved forward but are not abandoned.</p> <p>Recommendation not to remove DYL on safety grounds.</p>
<p>Thorne Close</p> <p>Not included in initial scheme as no support from residents</p> <p>Area monitored for overspill over 18 month period</p>	<p>No. 2 Commuter parking at entrance to cul de sac</p> <p>No.4 - Need to put restrictions in place to stop commuter parking.</p>	<p>All advised that area will be monitored and re consultation will take place after end of 18 months</p> <p>Re-consultation questionnaires delivered w/e 8/8/08</p>

Location	Comments Raised during 18 month Experimental Period	Action/Recommendation
	<p>No.4 Businesses in Maidstone Road are using Thorne Close to park instead of their car park</p> <p>No Number – Attached log of parking on various days – request for parking restrictions to be installed</p>	<p>Residents elected to manage overspill parking with one hour restriction midday. TRO underway to be published as part of Phase 4(a)</p>
<p>Common Road</p> <p>Included in initial scheme with 1 hour restriction at midday</p>	<p>No. 14 Aggrieved about PCN - would like the SYL to be altered to residents and visitors permit scheme</p>	<p>Letter sent 8/9/08. Asked to confirm continuation of request or not by 30/9/08 to be reported to Boards Advised that no other representations received from other residents</p>
<p>Barling Close</p> <p>Not included in initial scheme as no support from residents</p> <p>Area monitored for overspill over 18 month period</p> <p>Re-consultation questionnaires delivered w/e 8/8/08</p> <p>Parking arrangements to remain unchanged</p>	<p>No representations</p>	<p>No further action</p>

Location	Comments Raised during 18 month Experimental Period	Action/Recommendation
<p>Tunbury Ave</p> <p>Included in initial scheme with 1 hour staggered restriction at midday</p>	<p>11 Saracen Heights – Commuter parking on junction requires parking restriction.</p> <p>No other representations on this over 18 month monitoring period</p>	<p>Monitoring figures do not show this to be a persistent nuisance. There is no evidence of transferred parking to this area. There is school traffic which is a short term problem during term time at each end of the school day.</p> <p>No further action</p>
<p>Roman Close</p> <p>Not included in initial scheme as no support from residents</p> <p>Area monitored for overspill over 18 month period</p> <p>Re-consultation questionnaires delivered w/e 8/8/08</p> <p>Parking arrangements to remain unchanged</p>	<p>Comments from Parish Councillor who is a resident - not an apparent problem with transferred parking</p> <p>No other representations received</p>	<p>No further action</p>